W 23, 1991 61.4723

* K * O \$ 1 5 5 5 5 5

101

The President

FROM

The Vice President

SUBJECT: Mission to Southeast Asia, India and Takistan

The mission undertaken May 9, 1961, at your request, was inforestive and illustrating far beyond my expectations. Unusual cardor—as well as unusual long themserved exchanges in each country. Each lader visited unlessed and sought to take full advantage of my presence as a means of transmitting to you their strongly held personal views on many matters.

The purpose of this memorandum is to convey such of my cen impressions and evaluations as seen nort partiacent to decisions now under your consideration. It would be unrealistic to assume that such limited visits afford a basis for detailed substantive policy judgments. It would be equally unrealistic not to recognize that the circumstances and timing of this mission elimited a depth and substance of expression not normally present in exchanges through usual charmels. To purpose in to offer perspective—not, I wish to emphasise, to propose details of policy.

The Impact of Lace

There is no mistaking the deep-and long lasting-impact of recent developments in Lace.

Country to sountry, the degree differs but Lare has created doubt and sencers about intentions of the United States throughout Sentherst Asia. No amount of success at Caneva can, of itself, areas this. The independent Asians do not wish to have their own states resol ed in like manner in Caneva.

Legistre

(EXECUTIVE PERISTRY FILE MALL House

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000800050091-1 NSC review(s) completed.

Leaders such as Dism, Chiang, Serit and Lydo sere or loss smoot that we are making "the best of a bad bargain" at Geneva. Their shority extends no farther.

The Impact of the Mississ

Beyond question, your judgment about the timing of our mission was correct. Each leader—except Nebre—publicly congretulated you on the "Mining" of this mission. Chiang said—and all others privately consurred—what the mission had the effect of "stabilizing" the situation in the Southeast Asian mations.

what happened, I believe, was this: the leaders visited mantures long as they expends remain as friends or allies of the United States. The public, or, more precisely, the political, remation to Loce had drastically weakened the shillty to maintain any strongly pro-US extention. Meutralism is The Land, collapse in Vistam, unti-knerican election demagagnery in the chilippines were all developing prior to our visit. The show of strongth and electrique partly because you had sent the Vise Precident and partly, to a greater extent them you may believe, because you had sent your sister-space the friendly leaders senting to Theng their hads on "for a shile longer."

Our mission arrested the decline of confidence in the United States. It did not—in my judgment—rectors any confidence already lest. The leaders were as emplicit, as courtsons and courtly as men would be in making it clear that deeds must follow words—soon.

We didn't buy time----- were given it.

If these men I set at your request were besters, I would know-without bothering to asis—that there would be no further extensions on my note.

The purpose

ECKET

The Perpose of Joint Communicans

Starting with President Dies at Daigon, it was my conclusion that the interests of the United States would be served — and protected — by the immune of joint communiques. My purpose was this: to attach the adjustment and the name of each of the leaders to a joint public electronic embedying their acceptance of an agreement with the details of your letters which I delivered in your behalf. Mithout such statements in writing, it was clear that the United States would be victimized later by welf-serving statements that you — and the Administration — had offered "nothing" or "too little," etc.

As you recognized, the joint communiques followed item by item the statements in your letters. In most instance, where substantive pleasures and policies were involved, the examiniques were classed through Vashington before lessures. The extensive, important and almost unprecedented examinique with Nobra largely reflects the high regard the Didles Government had a fer Ambassador Calbraith.

I should make those two points clour: asseronces I gave were those you sent me to emmay, and no consituants were asked and none were given beyond those authorised in your latters. In sumo instances, for verience because, I did not express all the consituents or proposals extinctised in the State position payers.

the Importance of Pollow-Terruch

I commot strange too strongly the entrume importance of following up this mission with other measures, other entions, and other efforts. At the masset -- become of Less -- those metions are hypersonnitive to the possibility of American hypersisy toward Asia. Considering the Visses talks with Thrusbehov -- which, to the Asian mind, emphasize Western pather than Asian esseemes -- and considering the negative like of various demostic American editorials about this mission, I strongly bullow it is of first importance that this trip bear facil immediately.

Personal Completeless from the Klasica

I took to Southeast Asia some basic convictions about the problems found there. I have some every from the mission there — and to India and Pakistan — with many of these convictions charpened and desponed by what I amy end leagued. I have also reached contain other conclusions which I believe may be of value as guidence for those responsible in Summitable policies.

These

SECTION 1

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 + CIA-RDP80B01676R000800050091-1

Three conclusions are as follows:

- 1. The battle squinst Communism spat be joined in Coutboast Asia with strength and determination to achieve success there or the United States, inevitably, must surrender the Facific and take up our defences on our own shores. Asian Communism is congressional and contained by the maintenance of free nations on the subcontinuat. Sithout this inhibitory influence, the island emports Mailippines, Japan, Taiwan have no security and the yest Facific becomes a Red Sea.
- The struggle is for from lost in Southeast Asia and it is by no makes inevitable that it must be lost. In each country it is possible to build a sound structure capable of withstending end turning the Communist surge. The will to resist -- while now the target of subversive strack -- is there. The key to what is done by Asians in defense of Southeast Asian freedom is confidence in the United States.
- 3. There is no alternative to United States leadership in obsticant Asia. Leadership in individual countries -- or the regional leadership and cooperation so appealing to Asians -- rests on the knowledge and faith in United States power, will aid understanding.
- 4. SEASY is not now and probably mover will be the ensure because of British and French untillinguous to support decisive action. Asian distrust of the British and French is outspoken. Suscens at Geneva would prulong SEATY's rule. Failure at Geneva would because SEATY's meaningfulness. In the latter event, we must be ready with a new approach to collective security in the series.

We should consider an alliance of all the free mations of the Pacific and asia was are willing to join forces in defense of their freedom. Such we organization should:

- a) have a clear-out commed suthority
- also devote attention to measures and progress of modal justice, braining, land reform, sto.
- 5. Asian leaders -- at this time -- do not want /marican troops involved in Southeast Asia other than on training missions. American sembet troop involvement is not only not required, it is not desirable. Peopley /maricans -- fail to appreciate fully the mubility that recently-colonial peoples would not look with favor upon governments which invited or accepted the return this scom of western troops. To the extent that fact

of ground troop inculvement are instance our political response to hair in Congress or placebose, it seems must destroble to see to alloy those paralyzing from in confidence, as the strength of the individual six because made by lecture consisted on this trip. Into does not related or Clearward the probability that open attack small bring calls for C.C. contact traces. All the present probability of open attack seems nearly and to add this present probability of open attack seems nearly, and to add this parallel trace possible traces of the probability of open attack seems nearly and to add the place of the probability of open attack seems nearly and to add the place of the probability of open attack seems of the probability of open attack seems of the parallel of the probability of open attack seems of the parallel of

- a matheil effort. These patient remot be sorry by third fractor part of bell close. To the extent the fractions are by third fractor processed to take the normality measures to make our six effective. We can be and must be to be builting in the extent the fractions of the extent to make our elections. It would be needed to enumerate more closely than so never for the quidence of these young and many closely than so never for the spect or require of these.
- The large sensors, the greatest danger fortioned date of creations like the United States is but the memoriesy direct of Communicat Steels, rather that designs about five interest, indicates, powerly and discusse. We must meteror structure so evolve and these commics the point of our attack, and must implicative underlying.

J. Clarence

TANK T

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000800050091-1

S. Fistman and Theiland are the immediate-endocat importanttrouble spots, critical to the J.s. These areas require the attention of our very best telents-center the very closest eachingten direction-on matters economic, military and political.

The basic decision in Houtheast Asia is here. I wast decide whether to help these countries to the hear of our ability or throw in the towal in the area and nell back our defendes to San Transists and a "Fortress America" concept. Fore important, we would say to the world in this case that we don't live we to treatise and don't stand by our friends. This is not my concept. I recommend that we have forward enoughly with a major effort to talk these countries defend themselves. I consider the key here is to get our boat TAAD people to control, plan, direct and exact results from our military aid program. In Vietnam and Ingilars, we must

a. In Victory, Dien is a complex Sigure pacet by many problems. Se has admirable qualities, but he is remote from the people, is surrounded by persons less admirable and capable than he-The country can be seven-if we so a quickly and wisely. We must decide whether to support Dissever let detrac fell. To must have secretization of purpose in our country test, diplomatic and military. The Seigon Mahamay, USIA, A/O and related operations leave much to be desired. They should be brought up to maximum efficiency. The most important thing is imaginative, creative, American management of our military aid progress. The Vistomoses and our WAS estimate that \$50 million of U.F. willtary and esonomic assistance will be meeted if we decide to support Vietnam. This is the best information available to us at the present time and if it is confirmed by the best Fashington milliary judgment it should be supported. Sime you proposed and iem agreed to a joint soonorde mission, it should be appointed and proceed fortherith.

b. In The land, the Theis and our own MAG estimate probably as much is needed as in Vietnam—about 350 million of military and economic assistance. Again, should our best military judgment economy. I believe we should support such a program. Serit is more strongly and staughtly pro-instern than many of his people. He is and must be deeply conserved at the consequence to his ecuntry of a communist-controlled Leos. If tarit is to stand firm against neutralism, he must have-seen— concrete evidence to show his people of United States military and communic support. He believes that his armed forces should be increased to 150,000. His Defence Minister is coming to mashington to discuse sid matters.

7. The

9. The Republic of China on Taiwan war a pleasant surprise to me. I had been long aware of the criticisms against Chiang Kaiwshek and his government and cognisant of the deep exetional American feelings in some questers against him. I know these feelings influence our VS policy.

Whatever the sease, a prerressive attitude is emerging there. Our conversations with Chiang and ime. Chiang were dominated by discussions of measures of social progress, to my unexpected but gratified susprise. As with the Republic of Germany in Western Burope, so I believe we might profitably and wisely encourage the Republic of Chias in Asia to expert telemas, skills, and resources to other Asian lands to assist in progress of progress.

- 10. I was around that there were no problems for the 0.5. in the Philippines. There is a great reservoir of good feeling toward America among Pilipines, with many of the usual Latin qualifications. But a widespread belief that corruption exists is supplied the effectiveness of the government. Accordances of the Leadership from the people seems a problem.
- Il. India could wall be the subject of an entire report. Notes, during our visit, was electly "neutral" in favor of the Most. This Administration is highly regarded and well received in India. Only part of this flows out of hope or expectation of aid. Neinly, there is an intellectual affinity, or an affinity of spirit. This, in my judgment, should be exploited not with the hope of druming India into our sphere—which might be as unnecessary as it would be improbable—but, chiafly, with the hope of comenting under Nahru an India-W.D. friendship which would endure beyond any termsition of power in India.

SACHET .

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP80B01676R000800050091-1

12. President Ayub in Pakistan is the singularly most impressive and, in his way, responsible head of state encountered on the trip. He is sensured as a leader where others are not; confident, straightforward and I would judge, dependable. He is frenk about his belief, offensive as it is to us, that the forms of represummerive government would only spen his country to Commodat twice-over at this time. Hometheless, Ayub understands -- and to in agreement with -- the aims of exedienting powerty, ignorance and disease. We can have great influence and -- because of his administrative organization -- achieve dramatic success by supporting Pokiston's meeds. Our military should see how to improve the affectiveness and achieve mediculization of Pekistan's army. Apab is wisely sware of Pokiston's strategic position, wents to make his forces more andern, and wants to resolve the Rashmir dispute to release Indian and Pakistani troops to deter the Chinese rather than each other. He spells out the fact that U.S. leadership rests on our own salf-confidence and confidence we permit Asians to have in us.

To recepitulate, these are the main impressions I have brought back from my trip.

The fundamental decision required of the United States -- and time is of the greatest importance -- is whether we are to attempt to meet the shallenge of Communist expension now in Southeast Asia by a major affort in support of the foreus of freedom in the area or three in the towal. This decision must be made in a fail realization of the very heavy and continuing costs involved in terms of maney, of effort and of United States prestige. It must be made with the knowledge that at some point we may be freed with the further decision of whether we counit major United States forces to the area or cut our losses and withdraw should our other efforts fail. He must remain master of this decision. What we do in Southeast Asia should be part of a retical program to meet the threat we face in the raghes as a whole. It should implied a clear-out pattern of accordic contributions to be expected by each portner ascording to his ability and resources. I resembed by each portner ascording to his ability and resources.

I believe that the mission — as you conceived it — was a success. I am grateful to the many who labored to make it so.

Lambon N. Johnson

CONT